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## Lecture 10, Thursday January 14th, 2010

 (Latent Semantic Indexing)Prof. Dr. Hannah Bast<br>Chair of Algorithms and Data Structures<br>Department of Computer Science<br>University of Freiburg

## Overview of Today’s Lecture

- Learn about Latent Semantic Indexing (LSI)
- a method that addresses the synonymy problem
- fully automatic, does not require any understanding of the words
- uses method from linear algebra, which you learn on the way
- Eigenvector (Schur) decomposition
- Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)


## The Synonymy Problem

■ Here is a toy term-document matrix

- it's the one from Lecture 3, remember?

|  | Doc1 | Doc2 | Doc3 | Doc4 | Doc5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| internet | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 |
| web | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| surfing | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| beach | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 |


| Qry |
| :---: |
| 1.0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |

- Problem
- Doc2 not retrieved although the words internet and web are synonymous here and so Doc2 is just as relevant as Doc1


## Syonym Dictionary

- One solution would be a synonym dictionary
- often makes sense, but hard to maintain and keep up-to-date
- in this lecture, we will look at a fully automatic method
- but how can that work?


## The Rank of a Matrix

- Assume our matrix is the product of these two

| $4 \times 2$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 |


| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 |$=$| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -5 |
| 1 compute producti] | 0 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 |

- This is a matrix with column rank 2
- column rank $k=$ all columns can be written as a linear combination of $k$ common "base" columns, but not less
- the row rank is defined analogously
- Theorem: column rank = row rank


## Perturbing a Low-Rank Matrix

- Assume we change just two of the entries

| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 |

- Now the matrix has full rank (4) again
- but assuming that it came from a rank-2 matrix with just two entries changed
- it's not hard to guess what the original rank-2 matrix was
- LSI does this recovering automatically


## Latent Semantic Indexing (LSI)

- For a given m x n term-document matrix A
- and for a given rank $k$, typically $\ll \min (m, n)$
(note that the maximal rank is $\min (m, n)$, why?)
- LSI computes that rank-k matrix $A_{k}$ with minimal distance to A
- formally: $\operatorname{argmin}_{A_{k}, \operatorname{rank}\left(A_{k}\right)}=k\left\|A-A_{k}\right\|$
- where $\|$.$\| is the Frobenius norm$
- that is, for a matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$
- \|A || := $\operatorname{sqrt}\left(\sum \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}{ }^{2}\right)$

How to compute such a low-rank approximation?

## Eigenvector (Schur) Decomposition

- Theorem:
- let $A$ be a symmetric $m \times m$ matrix
- then $A$ can be written as $U \cdot D \cdot U^{\top}$
- where $U$ is unitarian, that is, $U \cdot U^{\top}=U^{\top} \cdot U=I$
- and $D$ is a diagonal matrix
- with the eigenvalues on its diagonal
- Recall
- when $A \cdot x=\lambda \cdot x$
- then $x$ is called an eigenvector of $A$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$
- if $x$ is an eigenvector then so are all multiples of $x$
- A has m linear independent eigenvectors which hence form a basis of the $R^{m}$

Eigenvector Decomposition - Example

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \cdot x=\lambda \cdot x \\
& A \cdot x-\lambda \cdot x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(A-7 \cdot I_{0}\right) \cdot x=0 \quad x \neq 0
$$

First, we need the eigenvalues

$$
|A-\lambda \cdot I|=0 \quad\left|\begin{array}{cc}
2-\lambda & 1 \\
\text { [do example] } & 2-\lambda
\end{array}\right|=(2-\lambda)^{2}-1
$$

Eigenvalue (EV) 3

$$
=\lambda^{2}-4 \lambda+3
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}=0 \Rightarrow(\lambda-3)(\lambda-1) \\
& \text { Eigenvalue 1: }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}=0 \Rightarrow x_{1}=-x_{2} \Rightarrow<\binom{1}{-1}>
$$

$2 \lambda d$ Eugur ${ }^{9}$

$$
\left.A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { EV1: } \quad \begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \text { intr val } 3 \text { 汭 } \quad\binom{1}{1} \text { intr val } 1
$$

namolize: $\binom{1}{1} \Rightarrow 1 / \sqrt{2}\binom{1}{1}$ mi $L_{2}$-mam

$$
\binom{1}{-1} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{-1}
$$

$$
u=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right) \quad, \quad U^{\top}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Solur decarposution:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

## Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

- Theorem
- Let A be an arbitrary rectangular $\mathrm{m} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix A
- then $A$ can be written as $U \cdot \Sigma \cdot V^{\top}$
- where $U$ is $m \times k, \sum$ is $k x k$, and $V$ is $n x k \quad k=\operatorname{rank}(A)$
- and $U^{\top} \cdot U=I$ and $V^{\top} \cdot V=I$ (but not vice versa)
- and $\Sigma$ is a diagonal matrix
- with the so-called singular values on its diagonal

■ Example

- one of the exercises
- do it by hand please (it is doable by hand)


## SVD Example

- Let's take our slightly perturbed rank-2 matrix

| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 |$=$


| -0.32 | -0.24 | -0.90 | -0.17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.50 | -0.42 | 0.15 | 0.74 |
| -0.75 | 0.05 | 0.36 | -0.55 |
| -0.29 | 0.87 | -0.20 | 0.34 |

U

$\cdot$| 2.62 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.47 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.70 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.45 |

$\Sigma$
$\mathrm{V}^{\top}$

How to Compute the SVD
Easy via the Eigenvector (Schur) decomposition
Amen $A=U \cdot \Sigma \cdot V^{\top}, U^{\top} U=I \quad V^{\top} V=I$ $A^{+} \mathrm{nxm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{m \times m}{A A^{\top}}=U \cdot \Sigma \cdot \underset{\text { ido the }}{V^{\top} \cdot \sum_{A} \cdot U^{\top}}=U \cdot \Sigma^{2} \cdot U^{\top} \\
& A^{\top} A=v \cdot \varepsilon \cdot u^{\dot{\top} \top} \cdot u \cdot \varepsilon \cdot v^{\top}=v \cdot \varepsilon^{2} \cdot V^{\top} \\
& m \times m
\end{aligned}
$$

- This is not the most efficient way however
- in pratice, use numerical methods
- one of the most efficient ones is called the Lanczos method
- which has complexity $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{nz})$, where k is the rank and nz is the number of non-zero values in the matrix
- note that term-document matrices are sparse: $n z \ll n \cdot m$


## Best Rank-k Approximation via SVD

- Take the SVD $U \cdot \Sigma \cdot V^{\top}$ of the given matrix A
- and keep only the first $k$ columns of $U$
- the upper $k \times k$ part of $\Sigma$
- and the first $k$ rows of $\mathrm{V}^{\top}$
- here is an example for the SVD from two slides ago and $k=2$

| -0.32 | -0.24 | -0.90 | -0.17 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -0.50 | -0.42 | 0.15 | 0.74 |
| -0.75 | 0.05 | 0.36 | -0.55 |
| -0.29 | 0.87 | -0.20 | 0.34 |

- | 2.62 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.47 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.70 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.45 |
- | -0.60 | -0.42 | -0.55 | 0.03 | -0.41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.48 | -0.25 | 0.73 | 0.42 | 0.00 |
| -0.39 | 0.63 | 0.22 | -0.48 | -0.40 |
| -0.50 | 0.11 | -0.16 | -0.22 | 0.82 |


## Best Rank-k Approximation via SVD

- Take the SVD $\mathrm{U} \cdot \Sigma \cdot \mathrm{V}^{\top}$ of the given A
- and keep only the first $k$ columns of $U$
- the upper $k x k$ part of $\Sigma$
- and the first $k$ rows of $\mathrm{V}^{\top}$
- here is an example for the SVD from two slides ago and $k=2$

| -0.32 | -0.24 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -0.50 | -0.42 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.75 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.29 | 0.87 | 0 | 0 |

- | 2.62 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.47 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
- | -0.60 | -0.42 | -0.55 | 0.03 | -0.41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.48 | -0.25 | 0.73 | 0.42 | 0.00 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


## Best Rank-k Approximation via SVD

- Take the SVD $\mathrm{U} \cdot \Sigma \cdot \mathrm{V}^{\top}$ of the given A
- and keep only the first $k$ columns of $U$
- the upper $k \times k$ part of $\Sigma$
- and the first $k$ rows of $\mathrm{V}^{\top}$
- here is an example for the SVD from two slides ago and $k=2$

| -0.32 | -0.24 |
| :---: | :---: |
| -0.50 | -0.42 |
| -0.75 | 0.05 |
| -0.29 | 0.87 |$\cdot$| 2.62 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.47 |$\cdot$| -0.60 | -0.42 | -0.55 | 0.03 | -0.41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.48 | -0.25 | 0.73 | 0.42 | 0.00 |

## Best Rank-k Approximation via SVD

- Take the SVD $\mathrm{U} \cdot \Sigma \cdot \mathrm{V}^{\top}$ of the given A
- and keep only the first $k$ columns of $U$
- the upper $k x k$ part of $\Sigma$
- and the first $k$ rows of $\mathrm{V}^{\top}$
- here is an example for the SVD from two slides ago and $k=2$

| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 |

our original A

| 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| -0.1 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 |

rank-2 approximation

## Problems with LSI

- The approximation is good ...
- ... but the vectors of the decomposition are not intuitive
- explain by example on previous slides
- Alternatives
- PLSI = probabilistic LSI
- find column-stochastic matrices (entries non-negative, column sum $=1$ ) $U$ and $V$ such that $A=U \cdot \Sigma \cdot V^{\top}$
- NMF = non-negative matrix factorization
- find any non-negative matrices $U$ and $V$ such that $A=U \cdot V$
- Quality of LSI, PLSI, NMF is about the same, but the matrices U and V have a more natural interpretation for PLSI and NMF


## Practical Issues

- In practice
- m (\#terms) and n (\#documents) are very large
- decomposition on such large matrices is very expensive
- also, the concepts found are based on mere co-occurrence
- correlations found are not always what one would expect
- many correlations are not found because there is no strong signal in the data
- here is a demo
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